

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/825,896	04/05/2001	Joseph P. Steiner	23105-B	4483
75	90 02/20/2002			
Gary M. Nath			EXAMINER	
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 6th Floor			COOK, RI	EBECCA
1030 15th Stree Washington, DO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
···			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/825,896

Applicant(s)

Steiner et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Rebecca Cook Art Unit

1614



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	ation.		
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 17, 2</u>	2001		
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is erre Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) X Claim(s) 6-8, 10-12, 14-16, 18-20, and 22-27	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 6-8, 10-12, 14-16, 18-20	o, and 22-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢 Claim(s) 25-27	is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)			
<u> </u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.		
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
-			
Attachment(s)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/825,896

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. To further prosecution applicants are requested to cancel the non-elected invention.

In view of applicants' argument the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 25-27 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 22-24 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,239,164. This is a double patenting rejection.

Applicants' arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. In view of the disclosure in the specification on page 4 it is inherent that the instant compounds are non-immunosuppressive and have an affinity for FKBP-type immunophilins.

If the instant claims are amended as proposed by the applicants an obviousness-type double patenting rejection would be made.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,896 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cook whose telephone number is (703) 308-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

February 19, 2002